

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**  
8

9  
10 STEPHANIE JENSEN,  
11 Plaintiff,  
12 vs.  
13 ROBERT J. McKENNON, JOSEPH  
14 McMILLEN; McKENNON LAW GROUP;  
15 and DOES 1-20,  
16 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:21-cv-00483-RCJ-CLB  
**ORDER GRANTING  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO RESPOND**

17 HAVING CONSIDERED Plaintiff Stephanie Jensen's MOTIOIN FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
18 TO RESPOND,

19 This Court grants Plaintiff Stephanie Jensen's request of additional time to respond to the  
20 Court's REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE.  
21  
22  
23  
24 ///  
25 ///  
26 ///  
27 ///  
28


1 **ORDER**

2 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time (ECF No. 5) to file her objections  
3 to the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4). On January 13, 2022, the Report and  
4 Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 4) was entered on the Court's docket  
5 recommending that the Court dismiss the complaint based on a lack of personal jurisdiction  
6 and improper venue. The parties were advised: Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c) and Rule  
7 IB 3-2 of the Local Rules of Practice, the parties may file specific written objections to this  
8 Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of receipt. Objections to the Report and  
9 Recommendations were due by **January 27, 2022**.

10 **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to respond to the Report and  
11 Recommendation (ECF No. 5) is **GRANTED**.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff may file an objection to the Report and  
13 Recommendation (ECF No. 4) on or before **Monday, March 14, 2022**. No further extensions  
14 will be granted.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16   
17 ROBERT C. JONES  
18 United States District Judge

19 DATED: February 15, 2022.